



## Economic Impact of Dairy Cow Management

#### V.E. Cabrera

University of Wisconsin-Madison Dairy Science

Workshop - Jistebnice, 23 July 2013

## DairyMGT.info UW-Dairy Management Website

Dairy M University	anagem y of Wisco	ent UW-E	ixtensi	on	i i i	W	THE UNIVERSITY WISCONSIN MADISON	Extension
Home	Tools	Projects	Publicati	ons Present	ations Lin	ka		
About	Awards	Contact	News	People	Opportunit	les	Gallery	

#### **Dairy Management**

Dairy Management site is designed to support dairy farming decision-making focusing on model-based scientific research. The ultimate goal is to provide user-friendly computerized decision support systems to help dairy farms improve their economic performance. Dr. Victor Cabrera focuses on model-based decision support in dairy cattle and in dairy farm production systems. Dr. Cabrera's primary interest is to improve cost-efficiency and profitability along with environmental stewardship in dairy farms by using simulation techniques, artificial intelligence, and expert systems. Dr. Cabrera's research and Extension programs involve interdisciplinary and participatory approaches towards the creation of user-friendly decision support systems. As an Extension Specialist, Dr. Cabrera works in close relationships with county-based Extension faculty, dairy producers, consultants, and related industry.

Opportunities	Helpful Link	
Ph.D. Student Opportunity - New!	→ Repro Money Program	UW-Dairy Management
Latest Projects	Contact	
Genomic Selection and Herd Management     Dairy Reproduction Decision Support Too     Strategies of Pasture Supplementation     Improving Dairy Cow Fertility     LGM-Dairy	Assistant Professor Extension Specialist Dairy Management 279 Animal Sciences	Tweets Follow evecabrers
UW     University of Wisconsin - Madison	1675 Observatory Dr. Madison, WI 53706 (808) 265-8506 vcabrera@wisc.edu	Cow Fertility Conference, Westport, Ireland. fb.ms/2hu6pvrQf
UW - Dairy Science	More	Victor E. Cabrera 27 Ju
Understanding Dairy Markets     UW Dairy Nutrient	Victor E. Cabrera, Ph.D.	fb.me/20nA7B1Bk
Dairy News	Admin Portal     Click Above to reach the Administrator Portal.	Tweet to @vecabrera
UW-Extension Dairy News		FLike 2 Send f

#### Feeding

#### FeedVal 2012

- O Grouping Strategies for Feeding Lactating Dairy Cattle
- Optigen® Evaluator
- Income Over Feed Supplement Cost
- O Dairy Extension Feed Cost Evaluator
- O Corn Feeding Strategies
- Income Over Feed Cost
- O Dairy Ration Feed Additive Break-Even Analysis

#### Heifers

- Heifer Pregnancy Rate
- O Cost-Benefit of Accelerated Liquid Feeding Program for Dairy Calves
- Economic Value of Sexed Semen Programs for Dairy Heifers
- Heifer Replacement
- Heifer Break-Even

#### Reproduction

- OUW-DairyReproSPlus: A Reproductive Analysis Tool that Includes Heat Detection Devices
- The Economic Value of a Dairy Cow
- Economic Value of Sexed Semen Programs for Dairy Heifers
- O UW-Dairy Repro\$: A Reproductive Economic Analysis Tool
- Exploring Timing of Pregnancy Impact on Income Over Feed Cost.
- O Dairy Reproductive Economic Analysis
- Heifer Pregnancy Rate

#### Production

- Milk Curve Fitter
- O Decision Support System Program for Dairy Production and Expansion
- 8 Economic Analysis of Switching from 2X to 3X Milking
- O Lactation Benchmark Curves for Wisconsin
- Economic Evaluation of using rbST
- O Alfalfa Yield Predictor: Using a Computer Application to Predict Irrigated Alfalfa Yield

#### Replacement

- The Economic Value of a Dairy Cow
- O Value of a Springer
- Heifer Replacement

## Outline 120 minutes

### The economic value of a dairy cow Rationale Decision support tool

Applications

## Livestock gross margin for dairy

Rationale Suite of decision support tools Applications



#### FeedVal2012

Decision support tool Applications

#### Grouping strategies for lactating cows

Rationale Decision support tool Applications



### **Economic Value of a Dairy Cow**

## Economic value of a dairy cow What is it?

Discounted future net return of a cow

Compared to a replacement

Projected net return of a cow - projected net return of replacement Includes replacement transaction cost







Variables with large impact

## Cow productivity in relation with herd mates

Current lactation Future lactations

#### **Replacement genetics**

Expected genetic improvement with a replacement



Critical economic implications

## Optimal replacement management

Keep or replace

#### **Crucial decisions**

Breed or not breed Use of special breeding options

#### Important information

Value of a pregnancy Cost of a pregnancy loss Cost of a day open



## Basic principle of value of a cow Markov-chains



## Basic principle of value of a cow An application



## Calculating the value of a cow

#### **Decision support tool**

erview Single Cow Analysis Herd Analysis	• US English	OUS Metric OUK	
INPUTS - Edit Values in This Block		OUTPUTS - Interactive Resul	lts
Evaluated Cow Variables Current Lactation Current Months after Calving Current Months in Pregnancy Expected Milk Production Rest of Lactation, % Expected Milk Production Next Lactations, % Replacement Cow Variable Expected genetic improvement, % additional mil Herd Production and Reproduction Variables Herd Turnover Ratio, %/year Rolling Herd Average, lb/cow per year 21-d Pregnancy Rate, % Reproduction Cost, \$/cow per month Last Month After Calving to Breed a Cow Do-not-Breed Cow Minimum Milk, lb/day Pregnancy Loss after 35 Days Pregnant, % Average Cow Body Weight, lb Herd Economic Variables Replacement Cost, \$/cow Salvage Value, \$/lb live weight Calf Value, \$/calf Milk Price, \$/cwt Milk Butterfat, % Feed Cost Lactating Cows, \$/lb dry matter	2 1 1 0 100 100 100 100 100	Value of the Cow, \$ Compared Against a Replacement Milk Sales, \$ Feed Cost, \$ Calf Value, \$ Non-reproductive Cull, \$ Mortality Cost, \$ Reproductive Cull, \$ Reproductive Cull, \$ Reproduction Costs, \$ Replacement Transaction, \$ Herd Structure at Steady State Days in milk Days to Conception Percent of Pregnant Reproductive Culling, % Ist Lactation, % 2 <sup>nd</sup> Lactation, % 3 <sup>rd</sup> Lactation, % Sind Lactation, % Net Return, \$ Milk Sales, \$ Feed Cost, \$ Cull Cost, Cull Cos	89) ent, \$ 53 -23 -8 -10 -8 -11 -70 22 12 5 -70 -22 12 5 -70 -70 -70 -70 -70 -70 -70 -70 -70 -70
Interest Rate, %/year	0.08 6 Analyze	Non-Reprod. Culling Cost, \$ Mortality Cost, \$ Reproductive Culling Cost, \$	-19 -3

#### **Example:**

Value of this 2<sup>nd</sup> lactation, 1 MIM, open cow is **\$897** 

Practical decision-making

#### Cull or not cull

Positive cow value indicates cow brings more value than replacement





Practical decision-making

#### Breed or not breed

Better chance for higher value cows





Practical decision-making

#### Treat or not treat

More investment allowed in higher value cows





Practical decision-making

## Calculate the value of a pregnancy

Difference between pregnant and nonpregnant







Months after calving

Practical decision-making

## Calculate the cost of a pregnancy loss

Difference between nonpregnant and pregnant







Practical decision-making

## Calculate the cost of a day open

Difference between value of non-pregnant cow in 2 successive days



E.g., \$5.16 (month 2-3) and \$4.26 (month 5-6)



Months after calving

## Economic value all cows in herd

Ranked values for better decision-making

( )

## Herd's individual cow values

Candidates for replacement Best performing animals Treatment decisions

Cow ID	Cow value, \$				
5892	-1,123				
6344	-243				
435	-10				
221	269				
5543	2,213				

Fr



### **Livestock Gross Margin for Dairy**

Livestock gross margin for dairy Price risk management insurance

#### Protects gross margin

Income over feed cost

## Bundled price risk management

Floor milk price + ceiling feed prices

#### **Prices from CME**

Class III Corn Soybean meal

#### 10-month cycles

1 month skipped Planning 11 months future

#### **Re-insured**

USDA Risk Management Agency

#### Monthly selling Premium subsidy available

## Livestock gross margin for dairy

Price risk management insurance

Milk price was lower than what it was expected!



## Livestock gross margin for dairy

### How it works?



## Livestock gross margin for dairy Premium estimator

#### The prices we use for the Gross Margin Calculation correspond to future and option prices retrieved on the trade dates: 2013-07-03, 2013-07-05, 2013-07-08

6	Coverage Month	Pro	oduction (cwt)	Co	rn Equiv (tons)	Soybea	n Meal Equiv (tons)	% covered	Monthly Gross Marg		rgin
	Month Year	Milk Qty.	Covered Milk × Expected Price = Milk Revenue	Corn Qty.	Covered Corn × Expected Price = Corn Cost	SBM Qty.	Covered SBM × Expected Price = SBM Cost		Milk Revenue - Corn Cost - SBM Cost - (Deductible × Milk Qty.)	\$/cwt of Farm Milk	\$/cwt of Covered Milk
6	Sep 2013	4113	1,934 cwt × \$18.52/cwt = \$35,824	95.8	45.1 tons × \$5.30/bu = \$8,528	21.1	9.9 tons × \$393.39/ton = \$3,904	47.03	21,458	5.22	11.09
(	🗹 Oct 2013	4340	2,041 cwt × \$18.48/cwt = \$37,719	101.1	47.5 tons × \$5.19/bu = \$8,819	22.3	10.5 tons × \$366.99/ton = \$3,849	47.03	23,010	5.30	11.27
6	Nov 2013	4188	1,970 cwt × \$18.18/cwt = \$35,807	97.6	45.9 tons × \$5.09/bu = \$8,338	21.5	10.1 tons × \$365.90/ton = \$3,700	47.03	21,799	5.21	11.07
6	🗹 Dec 2013	4240	1,994 cwt × \$17.82/cwt = \$35,534	98.8	46.5 tons × \$4.98/bu = \$8,264	21.8	10.3 tons × \$364.82/ton = \$3,740	47.03	21,535	5.08	10.80
(	🗹 Jan 2014	4188	1,970 cwt × \$17.33/cwt = \$34,133	97.6	45.9 tons × \$5.02/bu = \$8,229	21.5	10.1 tons × \$366.12/ton = \$3,702	47.03	20,232	4.83	10.27
6	🗹 Feb 2014	4023	1,892 cwt × \$17.15/cwt = \$32,447	93.7	44.1 tons × \$5.06/bu = \$7,963	20.7	9.7 tons × \$366.83/ton = \$3,571	47.03	19,021	4.73	10.05
0	🗹 Mar 2014	4075	1,916 cwt × \$17.09/cwt = \$32,752	94.9	44.6 tons × \$5.10/bu = \$8,129	20.9	9.8 tons × \$367.55/ton = \$3,613	47.03	19,094	4.69	9.96
(	🗹 Apr 2014	4038	1,899 cwt × \$17.12/cwt = \$32,511	94.1	44.3 tons × \$5.14/bu = \$8,123	20.8	9.8 tons × \$367.30/ton = \$3,593	47.03	18,896	4.68	9.95
6	May 2014	4063	1,911 cwt × \$17.20/cwt = \$32,865	94.7	44.5 tons × \$5.18/bu = \$8,239	20.9	9.8 tons × \$367.05/ton = \$3,608	47.03	19,108	4.70	10.00
6	🗹 Jun 2014	4149	1,951 cwt × \$17.19/cwt = \$33,541	96.7	45.5 tons × \$5.21/bu = \$8,470	21.3	10.0 tons × \$368.03/ton = \$3,687	47.03	19,434	4.68	9.96
	Farm	41,	417 cwt	9	65 tons	2	13 tons	47.03%	GMG	4.02	10.45
Total	Covered	19,	478 cwt	4	454 tons		100 tons		203,588	4.92	10.45
	Weighted Avg Price	17.	62 \$/cwt	5.	13 \$/bu	369.	37 \$/tons	2			

## Livestock gross margin for dairy Premium estimator

Deductible Level (\$/cwt)	Total Premium (\$)	Subsidized Premium (\$)	GMG (\$)	Net GMG (\$)	Prob. of Payout (%)	Net Premium as % of GMG (%)	% Net Prem. change	% GMG Change
0.0	13,616	11,165	223,066	211,901	50	5.01	-	-
0.1	12,627	10,228	221,118	210,890	48	4.63	-8.39	-0.87
0.2	11,688	9,234	219,170	209,936	45	4.21	-17.30	-1.75
0.3	10,799	8,316	217,222	208,907	43	3.83	-25.52	-2.62
0.4	9,956	7,467	215,275	207,808	41	3.47	-33.12	-3.49
0.5	9,157	6,593	213,327	206,734	38	3.09	-40.95	-4.37
0.6	8,402	5,797	211,379	205,582	36	2.74	-48.08	-5.24
0.7	7,687	5,073	209,431	204,358	34	2.42	-54.56	-6.11
0.8	7,012	4,348	207,483	203,136	32	2.10	-61.06	-6.99
0.9	6,377	3,826	205,535	201,709	30	1.86	-65.73	-7.86
1.0	5,778	3,004	203,588	200,583	29	1.48	-73.09	-8.73
1.1	5,217	2,609	201,640	199,031	26	1.29	-76.64	-9.61
1.2	4,699	2,349	199,692	197,343	24	1.18	-78.96	-10.48
1.3	4,219	2,110	197,744	195,634	23	1.07	-81.11	-11.35
1.4	3,776	1,888	195,796	193,908	21	0.96	-83.09	-12.22
1.5	3,376	1,688	193,848	192,160	18	0.87	-84.88	-13.10
1.6	3,012	1,506	191,900	190,394	17	0.78	-86.51	-13.97
1.7	2,678	1,339	189,953	188,614	15	0.70	-88.01	-14.84
1.8	2,374	1,187	188,005	186,818	14	0.63	-89.37	-15.72
1.9	2,096	1,048	186,057	185,009	13	0.56	-90.61	-16.59
2.0	1,846	923	184,109	183,186	11	0.50	-91.73	-17.46

2				
Unit	Premium	GMG	Net GMG	
Total (\$)	3,004	203,588	200,583	
Per cwt of Farm Milk (\$/cwt)	0.07	4.92	4.84	
Per cwt of Covered Milk (\$/cwt)	0.15	10.45	10.30	

## Livestock gross margin for dairy Optimize the level of coverage

Target NIOFC: \$ 5.0

/cwt

The prices we use for the Gross Margin Calculation correspond to future and option prices retrieved on the trade dates: 2013-07-03, 2013-07-05, 2013-07-08

	Coverage Month	Pro	oduction (cwt)	Co	rn Equiv (tons)	Soybea	n Meal Equiv (tons)	% covered	Monthl	Monthly Gross Mar	
	Month Year	Milk Qty.	Covered Milk × Expected Price = Milk Revenue	Corn Qty.	Covered Corn × Expected Price = Corn Cost	SBM Qty.	Covered SBM × Expected Price = SBM Cost		Milk Revenue - Corn Cost - SBM Cost - (Deductible × Milk Qty.)	\$/cwt of Farm Milk	\$/cwt of Covered Milk
(	Sep 2013	4113	4,113 cwt × \$18.52/cwt = \$76,172	95.8	95.8 tons × \$5.30/bu = \$18,133	21.1	21.1 tons × \$393.39/ton = \$8,301	100	45,625	11.09	11.09
	🗹 Oct 2013	4340	4,340 cwt × \$18.48/cwt = \$80,202	101.1	101.1 tons × \$5.19/bu = \$18,751	22.3	22.3 tons × \$366.99/ton = \$8,184	100	48,927	11.27	11.27
6	Nov 2013	4188	4,188 cwt × \$18.18/cwt = \$76,137	97.6	97.6 tons × \$5.09/bu = \$17,730	21.5	21.5 tons × \$365.90/ton = \$7,867	100	46,352	11.07	11.07
(	🗹 Dec 2013	4240	0 cwt × \$17.82/cwt = \$0	98.8	0.0 tons × \$4.98/bu = \$0	21.8	0.0 tons × \$364.82/ton = \$0	0	0	NA	NA
	🗹 Jan 2014	4188	1,378 cwt × \$17.33/cwt = \$23,878	97.6	32.1 tons × \$5.02/bu = \$5,757	21.5	7.1 tons × \$366.12/ton = \$2,590	32.9	14,154	3.38	10.27
(	🗹 Feb 2014	4023	1,569 cwt × \$17.15/cwt = \$26,907	93.7	36.5 tons × \$5.06/bu = \$6,603	20.7	8.1 tons × \$366.83/ton = \$2,961	39	15,773	3.92	10.05
(	Mar 2014	4075	0 cwt × \$17.09/cwt = \$0	94.9	0.0 tons × \$5.10/bu = \$0	20.9	0.0 tons × \$367.55/ton = \$0	0	0	NA	NA
	🗹 Apr 2014	4038	662 cwt × \$17.12/cwt = \$11,337	94.1	15.4 tons × \$5.14/bu = \$2,833	20.8	3.4 tons × \$367.30/ton = \$1,253	16.4	6,589	1.63	9.95
6	May 2014	4063	61 cwt × \$17.20/cwt = \$1,048	94.7	1.4 tons × \$5.18/bu = \$263	20.9	0.3 tons × \$367.05/ton = \$115	1.5	609	0.15	10.00
(	🗹 Jun 2014	4149	3,166 cwt × \$17.19/cwt = \$54,417	96.7	73.8 tons × \$5.21/bu = \$13,741	21.3	16.3 tons × \$368.03/ton = \$5,981	76.3	31,529	7.60	9.96
	Farm	41	,417 cwt	9	65 tons	2	13 tons	47 03%	GMG	5.06	10.76
Total	Covered	19	,477 cwt	4	54 tons	1	00 tons	47.0570	209,559	5.00	10.70
	Weighted Avg Price	17.	98 \$/cwt	5.	17 \$/bu	372	.43 \$/tons				

## Livestock gross margin for dairy

Optimize the level of coverage

#### Optimized

Unit	Premium	GMG	Net GMG	
Total (\$)	2,450	209,535	207,085	
Per cwt of Farm Milk (\$/cwt)	0.06	5.06	5.00	
Per cwt of Covered Milk (\$/cwt)	0.13	10.76	10.63	

VS.

#### Naïve 47% coverage

Unit	Premium	GMG	Net GMG
Total (\$)	3,004	203,588	200,583
Per cwt of Farm Milk (\$/cwt)	0.07	4.92	4.84
Per cwt of Covered Milk (\$/cwt)	0.15	10.45	10.30

	Optimized	Naïve	Gain
Total premium, \$	2,450	3,004	554
Premium, \$/cwt	0.06	0.07	0.01
Net guaranteed IOFC, \$	207,085	200,583	6,502



## FeedVal2012 concept

### What is FeedVal?

#### **Decision support tool**

Assess the true value of feed ingredients



## Assists in the management of

Purchasing feeds Use available feeds Formulate diets

## Helps economical decision-making

Producers Nutritionists Lenders

Opload data as Excel file: Choos	Analyze Disregard negative Nutrient Cloud Control Cont											
Select Number of Nutrients: 6	elect Number of Nutrients: 6  Hide Price Inputs Restore Default Values Download Spreadheet											
	INPUTS - Nutrients for Ingredients OUTPUTS - Price Inputs OUTPUTS											
	Nutrient									Calculated		
🗹 Ingredient	✓ Ingredient RUP%   RDP%				peNDF \$	Ca % 0		2012 No	vembi 🗘		Actual Price	
	Nutrient Calculated Value, \$70mit DM							Price		Predicted Value, \$/Unit	as % of Predicted	
Ingredients $\Psi$							DM %	\$/Unit	Unit		Value	
Shelled Corn	4.5	4.5	0.91	4.2	0	0.04	89	7.58	bu 🗘			
Soybean Meal 48%	21	33	1	1.1	0	0.35	89	462	ton \$			
Soybean Mar 44%	17.5	32.5	0.97	1.6	0	0.4	89	415.60	ton \$			
Soybean Meal, expeller	30	16	1.09	8	0	0.36	92	439	ton \$			
Soybeans	12	28	1.25	19	0	0.32	87	450	ton 🗘			
Soybeans, heated	22	21	1.24	19	0	0.26	92	700	ton 🗘		n	
Good Quat Hay	6	14	0.6	2	35	1.3	87	260	ton 🗘			
Poor Quality	4.8	11.2	0.5	2	50	1	87	201	ton \$			
Corn Silag	2.8	4,2	0.67	3.2	30	0.22	35	60 U	ton 🗘		U III	
Distillers Dried Grains	15	ЧĻН	ent		tênt	0.22	89	260	ton 🛊	<b></b>		
High-Moisture Corn	3.6	Fee	deno	red	ient	0.03	70	200	ton 🗘			
	0	0	2.06	100	0	0	99	25	cwt 🗘			
Blood Mea	76	19	1.06	1.2	0	0.3	94	968	ton 🛊			
🗹 Urea	0	287	0	0	0	0	99	500 <b>U</b>	ton \$			
Straw	4	1	0.45	0.37	75	0.31	85	140	ton 🗘			
Soy Hulls	6	8	0.67	2.7	0	0.63	89	225	ton 🗘			
Corn Gluten Feed	7.5	16.5	0.79	3.5	0	0.7	89	242	ton 🗘			
Canola Meal, expeller	17	21	0.8	5.4	0	0.75	89	325	ton 🗘			
Canola Meal, solvent	13.5	24.5	0.74	1.5	0	0.75	89	400	ton \$			
		25	0.70					250				

Lipload data as Excel File:	2	a co file	colocted	<b></b>	alaad			1		Sele		
opidad data as Excernie: Cr	4	e no rile	selected	U	pidad						nutrients	
Analyze SDisregard negat	5	rient Ca	lculated Valu	es							-	
Select Number of Nutrients:	√ 6	)	de Price Input	s Res	tore Default	Values	Download Sprea	dheet				
	7	INPUTS	- Nutrients	for Ingredier	ts —				TS - Price	Inputs	OUTP	UTS
	8			Nuti	rient			A	s-Fed Basis —— Calculated			ated ———
🗹 Ingredient	10	JP % :	RDP % =	NEI3x N +	Lipid % ‡	peNDF +	Ca % 🗘		2012 No	vembi 🗧		Astron Deline
	11			t Calculated	l Value, \$/	Unit DM -					Predicted	as % of
	12			·			-	DM %	Price \$/Unit	Unit	Value, \$/Unit	Predicted Value
Ingredients ¥												
Shelled Corn		4.5	4.5	0.91	4.2	0	0.04	89	7.58	(bu 🛟		
Soybean Meal 48%		21	33	1	1.1	0	0.35	89	462.7	ton 🗘		
Soybean Meal 44%		17.5	32.5	0.97	1.6	0	0.4	89	415.60	ton 🗘		
Soybean Meal, expeller		30	16	1.09	8	0	0.36	92	439.15	ton 🗘		
Soybeans, raw		12	28	1.25	19	0	0.32	87	450	ton 🗘		
Soybeans, heated		22	21	1.24	19	0	0.26	92	700	ton 🗘		
Good Quality Hay		6	14	0.6	2	35	1.3	87	260	ton 🗘		
Poor Quality Hay		4.8	11.2	0.5	2	50	1	87	201	ton 🗘		
Corn Silage		2.8	4.2	0.67	3.2	30	0.28	35	60	ton 🗘		
Distillers Dried Grains		15	15	0.9	12	0	0.22	89	260	ton 🗘		
High-Moisture Corn		3.6	5.4	0.95	4.2	0	0.03	70	200	ton 🗘		
Tallow		0	0	2.06	100	0	0	99	25	(cwt 🗘		
Blood Meal		76	19	1.06	1.2	0	0.3	94	968	ton 🗘		
Urea Urea		0	287	0	0	0	0	99	500	ton 🗘		
Straw		4	1	0.45	0.37	75	0.31	85	140	ton 🗘		
Soy Hulls		6	8	0.67	2.7	0	0.63	89	225	ton 🗘		
Corn Gluten Feed		7.5	16.5	0.79	3.5	0	0.7	89	242	ton 🗘		
Canola Meal, expeller		17	21	0.8	5.4	0	0.75	89	325	ton 🗘		
Canola Meal, solvent		13.5	24.5	0.74	1.5	0	0.75	89	400	ton 🗘		
Concerned Mart		20	25	0.78	10		0.2	80	250			

Salast number of

#### FeedVal2012 Select combination of 7 nutrients Upload data as Excel file: Choose File no file selected Upload Analyze Oisregard negative Nutrient Calculated Values Select Number of Nutrients: 6 + **Hide Price Inputs** Restore Default Values Download Spreadheet INPUTS - Price Inputs INPUTS - Nutrients for Ingredients OUTPUTS-As-Fed Basis Calculated Nutrient RUP % Ingredient NEI3x N 0 Lipid % peNDF Ca % 2012 Novembe \$ \$ - 2 . Actual Price RDP % Predicted as % of NEI3x Mcal/Ib trient Calculated Value, \$/Unit DM Predicted Value, \$/Unit Price **DM %** Unit Value \$/Unit Lipid % Ingredients $\Psi$ peNDF % Shelled Corn Ca % 0.91 4.2 0 0.04 89 7.58 bu 🗘 Phos % ☑ Soybean Meal 48% 0 1 1.1 0.35 89 462.7 ton \$ Lys % ๔ Soybean Meal 44% 0 0.97 1.6 0.4 89 415.60 ton 🛊 Met % NDF % ☑ Soybean Meal, expeller 1.09 8 0 0.36 92 439.15 ton 💲 dNDF ø Soybeans, raw 1.25 19 0 0.32 87 450 ton 🛊 Starch Sugars ☑ 19 0 0.26 ton 🛊 Soybeans, heated 1.24 92 700 CP % ◙ Good Quality Hay 0.6 2 35 1.3 87 260 ton 🛊 6 14 2 Ø 1 Poor Quality Hay 4.8 11.2 0.5 50 87 ton \$ 201 ø Corn Silage 2.8 4.2 0.67 3.2 30 0.28 35 60 ton 💠 • **Distillers Dried Grains** 15 15 0.9 12 0 0.22 89 260 ton 🛊 High-Moisture Corn 0 ◙ 3.6 5.4 0.95 4.2 0.03 70 200 ton ‡ ◙ Tallow 0 0 0 2.06 100 0 99 25 cwt ‡ ◙ Blood Meal 76 19 1.06 1.2 0 0.3 94 968 ton \$ ◙ 0 Urea 0 287 0 0 0 99 500 ton \$ ☑ Straw 1 0.45 0.37 75 0.31 85 ton 💠 4 140 Soy Hulls 6 8 2.7 0 0.67 0.63 89 225 ton 🛊 7.5 0 ☑ Corn Cluten Feed 16.5 0.79 3.5 0.7 89 ton 🛊 242 ◙ Canola Meal, expeller 17 21 0.8 5.4 0 0.75 89 325 ton 💠 ☑ Canola Meal, solvent 13.5 24.5 0.74 1.5 0 0.75 89 400 ton 🛊

Uplo	ad d	data as Excel file: Choose	e File no file	selected	U	pload			3		Sele	ec	t ingredi	ents
Sele	ct N	umber of Nutrients: 6	the Hir	le Price Input	Res	tore Default \	/alues	Download Sore:	dheet			7		
5616		under of Nuchencs.		- Nutriente i	for Incredien	te	raives	Download Sprea	Idneet	PLITS - Pr	ce Inputs-	1.		ITS
			INPOTS - Nutrients for Ingredients							F013 - F1	ice inputs	/		
				Nutrient							Basis —		——— Calcul	ated ———
		✓ Ingredient	RUP % 🕴	RDP % =	NEI3x N 🔅	Lipid % 🗘	peNDF \$	Ca % 🗘		201	2 Novembi 🌣			Actual Price
				Nutrien	t Calculated	i Value, \$/	Unit DM 🗕						Predicted	as % of
									DM	% Pric % \$/Ur	e Unit		Value, \$/Unit	Value
	$\frown$	Ingredients ¥												
	1	Shelled Corn	4.5	4.5	0.91	4.2	0	0.04	89	7,58	bu 🗘			
	1	Soybean Meal 48%	21	33	1	1.1	0	0.35	89	462.7	ton 🗘			
	1	Soybean Meal 44%	17.5	32.5	0.97	1.6	0	0.4	89	415.6	50 (ton \$)	111		
	1	Soybean Meal, expeller	30	16	1.09	8	0	0.36	92	439.1	15 (ton ‡)	11		
	1	Soybeans, raw	12	28	1.25	19	0	9.32	87	450	(ton \$			
	1	Soybeans, heated	22	21	1.24	19	0	0.26	92	700	(ton ‡)			
	1	Good Quality Hay	6	14	0.6	2	35	1.3	87	260	(ton ‡)			
	1	Poor Quality Hay	4.8	11.2	8.5	2	50	1	87	201	ton \$			
	1	Corn Silage	2.8	4.2	0.67	3.2	30	0.28	35	60	ton \$			
	1	Distillers Dried Grains	15	15	0.9	12	0	0.22	89	260	ton \$			
	1	High-Moisture Corn	3.6	5.4	0.95	4.2	0	0.03	70	200	(ton \$)			
	1	Tallow	0	0	2.06	100	0	0	99	25	(wt ‡)			
	1	Blood Meal	76	19	1.06	1.2	0	0.3	94	968	(ton \$			
	1	Urea	0	287	0	0	0	0	99	500	ton \$	111		
	1	Straw	4	1	0.45	0.37	75	0.31	85	140	ton \$	111		
	1	Soy Hulls	6	8	0.67	2.7	0	0.63	89	225	ton \$	111		
	1	Corn Gluten Feed	7.5	16.5	0.79	3.5	0	0.7	89	242	ton 🗘	1		
	1	Canola Meal, expeller	17	21	0.8	5.4	0	0.75	89	325	ton \$	111		
	1	Canola Meal, solvent	13.5	24.5	0.74	1.5	0	0.75	89	400	ton 🗘	111		
	đ	Company and Mari	20	25	0.78	10	0	0.2	80	250		111		

								(		E	dit in	gro	edients	& their
Upload	data as Excel file: Choose	e File no file	selected	U	pload				4	/ r	nutrie	ent	t compo	sition
Select	Number of Nutrients: 6	: Hi	de Price Input	s Res	tore Default \	/alues	Download Sc	oreadh	eet					
		INPUTS	- Nutrients	for Ingredien	ts				INPU	TS - Price I	Inputs		OUTP	UTS
				Nuti	rient ———				A	s-Fed Bas	sis —		Calcul	ated ———
	🗹 Ingredient	RUP% +	RDP % =	NEI3x N 0	Lipid % +	peNDF \$	Ca %			2012 No	embi 🗧			A shared Barlins
				t Calculated	l Value, \$/	Unit DM 🗕	1						Predicted	as % of
	Ingredients $\Psi$								DM %	S Unit	Unit		value, \$70nic	Value
ø	Shelled Corn	4.5	4.5	0.91	4.2	0	0.04	7	89	7.58	bu 🗘	Ϊ		
ø	Soybean Meal 48%	21	33	1	1.1	0	0.35		89	462.7	ton 🗘			
ø	Soybean Meal 44%	17.5	32.5	0.97	1.6	0	0.4	Y	89	415.60	tor 🗘			
ø	Soybean Meal, expeller	30	16	1.09	8	0	8.36		92	439.15	ton 🗘			
۷	Soybeans, raw	12	28	1.25	19	0	0.32		87	458	ton 🗘			
ø	Soybeans, heated	22	21	1.24	19	0	0.26		92	700	ton 🗘			
۷	Good Quality Hay	6	14	0.6	2	35	1.3		87	260	ton 🗘			
ø	Poor Quality Hay	4.8	11.2	0.5	2	50	1		87	201	ton 🗘			
ø	Corn Silage	2.8	4.2	0.67	3.2	30	0.28		35	60	ton 🗘			
ø	Distillers Dried Grains	15	15	0.9	12	0	0.22		89	260	ton 🛊			
Ø	High-Moisture Corn	3.6	5.4	0.95	4.2	0	0.03		70	200	ton 🗘			
0	Tallow	0	0	2.06	100	0	0		99	25	(cwt 🗘			
Ø	Blood Meal	76	19	1.06	1.2	0	0.3		94	968	ton 🗘			
ø	Urea	0	287	0	0	0	0		99	500	ton 🗘			
ø	Straw	4	1	0.45	0.37	75	0.31		85	140	ton 🗘			
۷	Soy Hulls	6	8	0.67	2.7	0	0.63		89	225	ton 🛊			
Ø	Corn Cluten Feed	7.5	16.5	0.79	3.5	0	0.7		89	242	ton 🛊			
Ø	Canola Meal, expeller	17	21	0.8	5.4	0	0.75		89	325	ton 🗘			
Ø	Canola Meal, solvent	13.5	24.5	0.74	1.5	0	0.75		89	400	ton 🗘			
	Settemand Ment	20	35	0.78	1.0	0	0.2		00	250	( ton ( )			

Upload data as Excel file: Choose	se File no file	selected	U	pload			5	E	dit in D	ng )M	redients 1 & price	units, es
Analyze Oisregard negative Select Number of Nutrients: 6	Nutrient Cale     His     His	de Price Input	es s Res	store Default	Values	Download Sprea	adheet	TC Drive		/	01177	170
		- Nutrients	for Ingredier					IS - Price		٦٢		515
			Nut	rient ———				s-Fed Ba	sis		Calcul	ated ———
🗹 Ingredient	RUP % ‡	RDP % ÷	NEI3x N 🔅	Lipid % ‡	peNDF \$	Ca % 🗘		2012 No	ovembi 🗘			Actual Price
		Nutrien	t Calculate	d Value, \$/	Unit DM 🗕			Price			Predicted Value, \$/Unit	as % of Predicted
Ingredients 🕹							DM %	\$/Unit	Unit			Value
Shelled Corn	4.5	4.5	0.91	4.2	0	0.04	89	7.58	bu \$			
Soybean Meal 48%	21	33	1	1.1	0	0.35	89	462.7	ton \$			
Soybean Meal 44%	17.5	32.5	0.97	1.6	0	0.4	89	415.60	ton 🗘			
Soybean Meal, expeller	30	16	1.09	8	0	0.36	92	439.15	ton \$			
Soybeans, raw	12	28	1.25	19	0	0.32	87	450	ton \$			
Soybeans, heated	22	21	1.24	19	0	0.26	92	700	ton 🗘			
Good Quality Hay	6	14	0.6	2	35	1.3	87	260	ton 🗘			
Poor Quality Hay	4.8	11.2	0.5	2	50	1	87	201	ton \$			
Corn Silage	2.8	4.2	0.67	3.2	30	0.28	35	60	ton 🗘			
Distillers Dried Grains	15	15	0.9	12	0	0.22	89	260	ton \$			
High-Moisture Corn	3.6	5.4	0.95	4.2	0	0.03	70	200	ton \$			
Tallow	0	0	2.06	100	0	0	99	25	cwt ‡			
Blood Meal	76	19	1.06	1.2	0	0.3	94	968	ton 🗘			
🗹 Urea	0	287	0	0	0	0	99	500	ton \$			
Straw	4	1	0.45	0.37	75	0.31	85	140	ton ‡			
Soy Hulls	6	8	0.67	2.7	0	0.63	89	225	ton \$			
Corn Gluten Feed	7.5	16.5	0.79	3.5	0	0.7	89	242	ton \$			
Canola Meal, expeller	17	21	0.8	5.4	0	0.75	89	325	ton 🗘			
Canola Meal, solvent	13.5	24.5	0.74	1.5	0	0.75	89	400	ton \$			
Company and Mari	20	25	0.78	10		0.2	80	0.35				

Number of Nutrients: 6		<ul> <li>Price Input</li> <li>Nutrients</li> </ul>	s Res for Ingredier	tore Default \	alues	Download Spread	Ineet INPL	TS - Price	Inputs		UTS
		Hotherto	Nut	rient				s-Fed Bas	sis —	Calcul	ated
🗹 Ingredient	RUP % ÷	RDP % =	NEI3x N 0	Lipid % ‡	peNDF \$	Ca % 🗘		2012 No	ovembi 🗘		Actual Pric
		Nutrien	t Calculated	d Value, \$/	Unit DM —		DM %	Price \$/Unit	Unit	Predicted Value, \$/Unit	as % of Predicted Value
Ingredients Ψ											
Shelled Corn	4.5	4.5	0.91	4.2	0	0.04	89	7.58	(bu 🗘		
Soybean Meal 48%	21	33	1	1.1	0	0.35	89	462.7	ton 🗘		
Soybean Meal 44%	17.5	32.5	0.97	1.6	0	0.4	89	415.60	ton 🗘		
Soybean Meal, expeller	30	16	1.09	8	0	0.36	92	439.15	ton 🗘		
Soybeans, raw	12	28	1.25	19	0	0.32	87	450	ton 🗘		
Soybeans, heated	22	21	1.24	19	0	0.26	92	700	ton 🗘		
Good Quality Hay	6	14	0.6	2	35	1.3	87	260	ton 🗘		
Poor Quality Hay	4.8	11.2	0.5	2	50	1	87	201	ton 🗘		
Corn Silage	2.8	4.2	0.67	3.2	30	0.28	35	60	ton \$		
Distillers Dried Grains	15	15	0.9	12	0	0.22	89	260	ton \$		
High-Moisture Corn	3.6	5.4	0.95	4.2	0	0.03	70	200	ton \$		
Tallow	0	0	2.06	100	0	0	99	25	(cwt 🗘		
Blood Meal	76	19	1.06	1.2	0	0.3	94	968	ton \$		
Urea	0	287	0	0	0	0	99	500	ton \$		
Straw	4	1	0.45	0.37	75	0.31	85	140	ton \$		
Soy Hulls	6	8	0.67	2.7	0	0.63	89	225	ton \$		
Corn Gluten Feed	7.5	16.5	0.79	3.5	0	0.7	89	242	ton +		

Number of Nutrients: 6	+ Hid	<ul> <li>Price Input</li> <li>Nutrients</li> </ul>	for Ingredier	store Default	Values	Download Sprea	dheet INPU	TS - Price	Inputs		OUTP	UTS
			Nut	rient			A	s-Fed Ba	sis —	(	alcul	lated
🗹 Ingredient	RUP% ÷	RDP % \$	NEI3x N ‡	Lipid % ‡	peNDF \$	Ca % ÷		2012 No	ovemb: +			Actual Pric
Ingredients 🗸		Nutrien	t Calculate	d Value, \$/	Unit DM -		DM %	Price \$/Unit	Unit	Predicte Value, \$/I	Predicted Value, \$/Unit	
Shelled Corn	4.5	4.5	0.91	4.2	0	0.04	89	7.58	bu 🗘	7.175	/bu	106
Soybean Meal 48%	21	33	1	1.1	0	0.35	89	462.7	ton 🗘	457.830	/ton	101
Soybean Meal 44%	17.5	32.5	0.97	1.6	0	0.4	89	415.60	ton 🗘	421.416	/ton	99
Soybean Meal, expeller	30	16	1.09	8	0	0.36	92	439.15	ton 🗘	540.529	/ton	81
Soybeans, raw	12	28	1.25	19	0	0.32	87	450	ton 🗘	419.164	/ton	107
Soybeans, heated	22	21	1.24	19	0	0.26	92	700	(ton ‡)	513.416	/ton	136
Good Quality Hay	6	14	0.6	2	35	1.3	87	260	ton 🛟	208.104	/ton	125
Poor Quality Hay	4.8	11.2	0.5	2	50	1	87	201	ton 🗘	170.824	/ton	118
Corn Silage	2.8	4.2	0.67	3.2	30	0.28	35	60	ton 🗘	73.193	/ton	82
Distillers Dried Grains	15	15	0.9	12	0	0.22	89	260	ton 🛟	352.972	/ton	74
High-Moisture Corn	3.6	5.4	0.95	4.2	0	0.03	70	200	ton 🗘	204.297	/ton	98
Tallow	0	0	2.06	100	0	0	99	25	(cwt ‡)	24.841	/cwt	101
Blood Meal	76	19	1.06	1.2	0	0.3	94	968	ton 🗘	947.340	/ton	102
Urea	0	287	0	0	0	0	99	500	ton 🛟	500.270	/ton	100
Straw	4	1	0.45	0.37	75	0.31	85	140	(ton ‡)	133.790	/ton	105
Soy Hulls	6	8	0.67	2.7	0	0.63	89	225	ton 🗘	218.846	/ton	103
Corn Gluten Feed	7.5	16.5	0.79	3.5	0	0.7	89	242	ton 🗘	272.393	/ton	89
Canola Meal, expeller	17	21	0.8	5.4	0	0.75	89	325	(ton ÷)	358.360	/ton	91
Canola Meal, solvent	13.5	24.5	0.74	1.5	0	0.75	89	400	(ton \$)	322.765	/ton	124

Number of Nutrients: 6	÷ Hi	de Price Inpu	ts Res	tore Default	Values	Download Sprea	dheet				le est
	INPUTS	- Nutrients	for Ingredien	ts			INPU	TS - Price I	nputs	OUTP	UTS
			Nuti	ient ——			A	s-Fed Bas	is ——	Calcul	ated ——
Ingredient	RUP % \$	RDP % ‡	NEI3x N ‡	Lipid % 💲	peNDF \$	Ca % 🗘		2012 No	vemb+ ÷		Actual Prie
	_	Nutrier	t Calculated	Value, \$/	Unit DM -			Price		Predicted	as % of Predicter
Ingredients 🗸		т	1		1		DM %	\$/Unit	Unit	·	Value
Shelled Corn	4.5	4.5	0.91	4.2	0	0.04	89	7.58	(bu 🗘	7.175 /bu	106
Soybean Meal 48%	21	33	1	1.1	0	0.35	89	462.7	ton 🗘	457.830 /ton	101
Soybean Meal 44%	17.5	32.5	0.97	1.6	0	0.4	89	415.60	ton 🛊	421.416 /ton	99
Soybean Meal, expeller	30	16	1.09	8	0	0.36	92	439.15	ton 🗘	540.529 /ton	81
Soybeans, raw	12	28	1.25	19	0	0.32	97	450	ton 🗘	419.164 /ton	107
Soybeans, heated	22	21	1.24	19	0	0.26	Over	price	d 🕀	513.416 /ton	→ 136
Good Quality Hay	6	14	0.6	2	35	1.3	8/	200	ton 🛊	208.104 /ton	125
Poor Quality Hay	4.8	11.2	0.5	2	50	1	87	201	ton 🛊	170.824 /ton	118
Corn Silage	2.8	4.2	0.67	3.2	30	0.28	35	60	ton 🛊	73.193 /ton	82
Distillers Dried Grains	15	15	0.9	12	0	0.22	Bar	gain		352.972 /ton	→ 74
High-Moisture Corn	3.6	5.4	0.95	4.2	0	0.03	70	200	ton 🛊	204.297 /ton	98
Tallow	0	0	2.06	100	0	0	99	25	(wt ‡)	24.841 /cwt	101
Blood Meal	76	19	1.06	1.2	0	0.3	94	968	ton 🛊	947.340 /ton	102
Urea	0	287	0	0	0	0	99	500	ton 🗘	500.270 /ton	100
Straw	4	1	0.45	0.37	75	0.31	85	140	ton 🗘	133.790 /ton	105
Soy Hulls	6	8	0.67	2.7	0	0.63	89	225	ton 🛊	218.846 /ton	103
Corn Gluten Feed	7.5	16.5	0.79	3.5	0	0.7	89	242	ton 🗘	272.393 /ton	89
Canola Meal, expeller	17	21	0.8	5.4	0	0.75	89	325	ton ‡	358.360 /ton	91
Canola Meal, solvent	13.5	24.5	0.74	1.5	0	0.75	89	400	(ton ‡)	322.765 /ton	124
1 Commentation											

## FeedVal applications

#### Monthly market watch

Best feed ingredient prices ranked





#### Pricing treated alfalfa hay

Fair price Justify treating?

### Pricing drought stressed corn silage

Assessment according to nutrient content



### June 2013 market watch

FeedVal 2012 predicted dairy feed prices and rankings for June 2013<sup>1</sup> V.E. Cabrera, P. Hoffman, and R. Shaver

Feed Prices (\$/Unit)

Actual Price as %

Best-buy

Υ

Orst

•		
	h0	

Ingredient

Ğ	
Ŭ	
<b>D</b>	
G	
>	
$\mathbf{O}$	

Ingredient	DM %	Unit	Market	Predicted	of Predicted Value	Ranking	
Wet Distillers	45	ton	76.0	183.7	41	1	<b>ب</b>
Distillers Dried Grains	89	ton	225.0	373.7	60	2	
Corn Gluten Feed	89	ton	163.0	270.5	60	3	
Wheat Middlings	89	ton	190.0	236.0	81	4	
Corn Gluten Meal	89	ton	570.0	637.3	89	5	
Soy Hulls	89	ton	195.0	216.3	90	6	T
Hominy	89	ton	225.0	243.9	92	7	
Corn Silage	35	ton	66.9	72.4	92	8	
Wheat	89	bu	6.6	7.2	93	9	
Shelled Corn	89	bu	6.7	7.1	94	10	
Cottonseed Meal	89	ton	370.0	385.3	96	11	
Urea	99	ton	505.0	503.8	100	12	
Canola Meal, expeller	89	ton	365.0	364.9	100	13	
Sunflower Meal	89	ton	245.0	242.5	101	14	
Barley	89	cwt	11.9	11.7	102	15	
Tallow	99	cwt	37.5	36.4	103	16	
Soybean Meal 48%	89	ton	472.0	453.1	104	17	
Blood Meal	94	ton	1025.0	966.0	106	18	
Poor Quality Hay	87	ton	184.5	168.5	109	19	
Molasses	89	ton	220.0	199.2	110	20	l T
Linseed Meal	89	ton	365.0	323.4	113	21	
Soybean Meal 44%	89	ton	475.0	416.8	114	22	
Whole Cottonseed	89	ton	358.0	311.9	115	23	
Soybeans, raw	87	bu	15.4	12.6	123	24	
Good Quality Hay	87	ton	263.6	204.3	129	25	
Beet Pulp	89	ton	270.0	199.8	135	26	
Dats	89	ton	383.0	239.9	160	27	
Soybean Meal, expeller	92	ton		555.1			ł
Soybeans, heated	92	ton		549.7			
Earlage/Snaplage	60	ton		153.5			
High-Moisture Corn	70	ton		201.5			
Straw	85	ton		129.1	Dradi	at a d	
Canola Meal, solvent	89	ton		319.4	ггеци	clea	
Hi-Pro Distillers	89	ton		428.3			
Brewers Dried Grains	89	ton		333.5			
Wet Brewers	25	ton		87.8	Pric	es	
Malt Sprouts	89	ton		263.9			
Wheat Bran	89	ton		220.8			
Corn Stover	80	ton		106.4			
Whey	20	ton		47.3			

<sup>1</sup>Analysis performed using UW-Madison FeedVal 2012: http://dairymgt.info/tools/feedval 12/index.php including 27 feed ingredients displayed in top part of the table, 4 nutrients: RUP, RDP, NEL, and peNDF; and using general wholesale FOB Midwest input prices. These results might change substantially depending on: local input prices, nutrients, and feed ingredients used for price formation. For more in-depth analyses please use the FeedVal 2012 decision support tool and local input prices.



### **Nutritional Grouping Strategies**

## Feeding lactating cows differently Some cows might be overfed

#### Same ration to all cows

All lactating cows receive same density diet

#### **Preferred "high" rations**

Low producing animals receive more nutrients than required



## **Possible solution**

Consider additional feeding groups



#### Improved nutrient use efficiency Diet closer to cow requirements

#### Less overfed animals

Decreased overweighted cows

#### Less nutrient excretion Decreased

environmental concerns



#### Lower feeding costs

Higher milk income over feed cost



### Why do not group more? Probably many reasons

Farm facilities or equipment limitations Physical constraints

Not enough labor or personnel Labor constraints Not enough expertise or knowledge available Management constraints

#### Other reasons Trying to find them

Al Daniel - spatia Igena in Taniel Daniel Daniel

RANC BARY LARM INFORMATION	8. PERSONA & RATIONATOR LACTATION CORD
you have been as your face.	\$4. Section you finding prove for installing your ident of their and?"
Act int jors while	Criter or new and alord ration is (Toll, of test suprime for a processing or sized on a
	and and official is conver. [ALP] I to quantum \$1.1
en lader (* te stag is der ef be strag)	C Partial solution (longer and converture solution, but additional that previded)
Market within	Coldinard concerning by a computer finder
	C Address converges he is other adding yours
and the second of the second of the second	Contrast concernent for a suffrag party
on ship had only or sold shaped the own hard?	Contraction of the second seco
	C. Address in the second in th
names of the lasty operation.	Common and an address of the second second in success
a, Ofener	Company Manager Lange Company in Street and Street and Street Str
1980	Company to a solid party
the story a part of the part of the story of	Commission to down a to dol market within here. C. Oder
parent way with a spec	10 Normal Address of the later is being a state of the
of particular in the last spectra (dash.all that and)	Collin Revision allowed and a second provide that the second state
Contract Contract	\$5 finder from of lands from being our bud of example with the bilingue con-
	complex groups where one is holey property is not one of a sector
ten to'te assignt problementy as gastanchand gates doing the provid	that there are had as
	Late of the latent and
	The of some for any first some
the spectrum brands	Note includes and an
	With exclusion
busine helits he helpfung over	But control but control and a set of the set
of our level all durit a lateral or packet has	Ref. cont and
togethe A&O Mar % [ ] O Mar	Republic interaction and a series of the ser
P-9-	The set before some fins on the is sended 1 1 1 1 4 1
N. of prop. pro. of strap	1 mm ( d d d d d d d d d d d d d d d d d
Type of prog locating (deek.all data appli)	36ar
Chan dat hers. C Hash studies. C (Spin-do tet.	
C bears put pe sale andC copper bears pe sale and	BA (AND NOT A COMPANY OF A CAMPAGE (AND A COMPANY OF A CAMPANY OF A CA
	strategies where it is an end on a part of the last part of the second over provide the second over pr
Lamba (pag, below you will if apressed with the following messares	Record 14 and had some option officer (der st
not cheek come for grouping sectory, and in a set on , mild a parties	is an including over the local lines from the local large lines
and as a set have have have been	Carrot has facility in an agent it
and and a second s	Not manager takes as personnel to handle it
	Dear to long in single
	With despendence on second to different groups [ ] ] ] [ ] [ ] [ ]
	Conducts with property for operations of a 1 st of the st
	Remove an extra to
	1 de net beinen maar han me beding georg is andel 1 1 3 4 3
at.e.       + +	100 I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I
population is a second	
ENGLACION NOT	Bit. Wend you reacter recoming a become does for the supportant of sheet C 101, C 100
	thank you car much be comparing the action? Your input is called in any import

### Strategies for grouping cows Depend on farm and herd characteristics

#### Individual cow nutrient requirements

- •Energy
- Protein

## Number of lactating cows on the herd



#### Farm characteristics

Capacity to handle lactating feeding groups



Adapted from McGilliard et al., 1983; St-Pierre and Thraen, 1999

## Cow nutrient requirement Energy

### Total net energy (NE<sub>total</sub>)

Energy required for maintenance + energy required for milk production

NE<sub>total</sub> (Mcal) = NE<sub>maintenance</sub> + NE<sub>milk</sub>

#### NEmaintenance Function of animal body weight

*NE<sub>maintenance</sub>* = 0.079 x *BW*<sup>0.75</sup>



NE<sub>milk</sub> Function of milk and fat production

NE<sub>milk</sub> = Milk x (0.36 + 0.0969 x Fat%)

NRC, 2001

### Cow nutrient requirement Protein

#### **Total crude protein** (**CP**<sub>total</sub>) Protein required for maintenance + protein required for milk production



#### **CP**maintenance

Function of animal body weight

 $CP_{maintenance} = 104.78 + 0.73 \times BW$ - 0.00015432 x BW<sup>2</sup>

### **CP**<sub>total</sub> **(g)** = **CP**<sub>maintenance</sub> + **CP**<sub>milk</sub>

**CP<sub>milk</sub>** Function of milk and fat production

*CP<sub>milk</sub> = Milk x (4586+1036 x Fat%)* 

McGilliard et al., 1983

### Cow feed requirement Dry matter intake

## Total dry matter intake (DMI)

Function of DIM, BW, and 4% fat corrected milk (4% FCM)



 $DMI(kg) = (0.372 \times 4\% FCM + 0.0968 \times BW^{0.75}) \times (1 - e^{(-0.192 \times ((DIM/7) + 3.67))})$ 

4% FCM = 0.4 x Milk + 15 x (Fat%/100) x Milk

## Cow body weight Measurements are not always available



Korver et al., 1985 function fitted to NRC, 2001

## Nutrient requirement for a group Energy and protein

#### Lead factor

Multiplicative factor to adjust nutrient requirements of a group

NE<sub>group</sub> (Mcal) = 83<sup>rd</sup> Percentile CP<sub>group</sub> (%) = 83<sup>rd</sup> Percentile

Stallings and McGilliard, 1984

## Criteria for grouping

Several criteria exist

#### Days after calving (DIM)

Based on stage of lactation



#### Fat corrected milk

Based on level of production measured as FCM

Dairy merit Function of both FCM and BW



#### Cluster

Function of NE and CP. Seems to be most efficient criterion.

McGilliard et al., 1983; St-Pierre and Thraen, 1999 Calculate the value of NE and CP Determine diets' cost

Value of NE and CP could be deducted Using referee feeds

#### Price NE and CP

Nutrient values NE Mcal) and CP (\$/kg)



Corn %CP + Corn Mcal NE = \$/kg Corn Price SBM %CP + SBM Mcal NE = \$/kg SBM Price

Value of NE and CP could be available on a farm Based on farm experience



### Optimize cows to a feeding group Maximize the income over feed cost

#### **Non-linear optimization**

- Iterative process
- Search for global maxima IOFC



#### Max(IOFC) = SUM(IOFC<sub>group</sub>)

*IOFC*<sub>group</sub> = *Milk Value - Feed Cost* 

## Additional costs and benefits Impacts grouping feeding strategies

#### Management cost

- Additional labor
- Extra management

#### Avoid costs

Additives savings

#### Milk depression

- Cow social interactions
- Diet changes



## **Overall net return** Bottom line grouping strategies

#### Net return

- + Max (IOFC)
- Extra management
- Milk depression
- + Savings





VS.



### **Grouping strategies** For feeding lactating dairy cattle

		Dairy Management UW4Extension Inversity of Wisconsin-Matison
Home	Tools	Projects Publications Presentations LGM-Dairy Links
About	Contact	Comments News People Opportunities Gallery

#### Grouping Strategies for Feeding Lactating Dairy Cattle

Overview	Upload Fa	rm Details	Group Cows	Reap Benefits	Sample Farm: Total Cows = 470
Prices					
Corn Soybean Me	CP% 0.1 eal 0.5	Nel, MCal/ 0.9 0.88	lb \$/(Unit) 6.72 (\$/bu 350 (\$/to	) n)	
Please note \$/Ib CP \$/Mcal NEL Milk Price:	that the va Calculated 0.143 0.117	lues highlight Values 37 Edit 4 Edit	ed with this color (	will be used by the	tool.
Download P	Parameter E	xcel File			
Upload the Excel File: Choose File. No file chosen					
Current File	e/Data Statu from Defau	is It Parameters	File on Server		

### Get the farm data

Farm time specific dataset

#### **NE and CP value**

- Farm known value
- Calculated from corn and soybean meal

#### Milk price

Farm known value

#### **Cow information**

Table of specific data

Cow ID	Parity	DIM	Milk, Ib/d	Milk fat, %
6234	1	84	62	4.1
132	7	118	73	3.8
6196	1	198	85	3.4
6149	4	199	114	3.6
5045	2	280	81	4.3

#### **Grouping strategies**

- Farm current situation
- Possible situations

#### **Additional information**

- Cow's BW, or
- Parity's average BW

### **Grouping strategies** Farm possibilities



## **Tool illustration**

### Economic impact of grouping

Current situ	ation		
Lactating cows	470		
Number groups	None		
NE, Mcal/lb	0.80		
CP, %	17%		
	P	ossible	situation
	Number g	roups	3
	Group sizes		100, 100, 270
	Added cost, \$		\$1,000/month
	Milk loss		5 lb/cow
	Milk loss time		4 days
	Saved co	ost, \$	\$0

### **Decision support system illustration**

Cluster grouping criteria

	Possible situation				
	Cow	NE,	CP,	IOFC,	
	numbers	Mcal/lb	<u>%</u>	\$/cow/day	
Group 1	270	0.71	16.05	9.3	
Group 2	100	0.65	14.18	7.2	
Group 3	100	0.62	13.07	4.7	

![](_page_57_Figure_3.jpeg)

### **Analysis from dairy farm records** 30 Wisconsin dairy farms

#### No grouping vs. 3 groups

Same size groups

#### Same prices for all

- •\$15.89/cwt milk
- •\$0.14337/lb CP
- •\$0.1174/Mcal NEI

#### **Cluster grouping**

• 83<sup>rd</sup> percentile CP and NEI

![](_page_58_Picture_9.jpeg)

#### **Projected body weight**

- 1,100 lb primiparous
- 1,300 lb multiparous

## **Analysis from dairy farm records** 30 Wisconsin dairy farms

	Number of lactating cows (n=30)	Income over Feed Cost (no grouping)	Income over Feed Cost (3 groups)	
		\$/cow per year		
Mean	788	\$2,311	\$2,707	
Minimum	< 200	\$697	\$1,059	
Maximum	> 1,000	\$2,967	\$3,285	

## Increase of IOFC (\$/cow per year)

- Between 7 and 52%
- •Mean = \$396
- Range = \$161 to \$580

## After reasonable extra costs

• Still increased net margin of between 5 and 47%

# -Thanks non something © 2011 Wisconsin Milk Marketing Board, Inc.