







Decision Support Tools



Victor E. Cabrera

UW-Extension Central Wisconsin Series American Legion Hall, Elroy, WI, 27 February 2014

DairyMGT.info

Largest collection of Decision Support Tools

Lots of info

- Projects
- Publications

Decision

Presentations

Core of DairyMGT.info

Support

Tools

Links



This site is designed to support dairy farming decision-making focusing on model-based scientific research. The ultimate goal is to provide user-friendly computerized decision support tools to help dairy farmers improve their economic performance along with environmental stewardship.

UW-Dairy Management Decision Support TOOLS

University of Misconsin

University of Wisconsin - Madison UW - Cooperative Extension UW - Dairy Science Dairy Cattle Reproduction Dairy Cattle Nutrition Milk Quality UW Dairy Nutrient Understanding Dairy Markets UW Center for Dairy Profitability

Latest Projects

Improving Dairy Farm Sustainability Genomic Selection and Herd Management Dairy Reproduction Decision Support Tools Strategies of Pasture Supplementation Improving Dairy Cow Fertility

Contact



Associate Professor Extension Specialist in Dairy Management 279 Animal Sciences 1675 Observatory Dr. Madison, WI 53706 (608) 265-8506 vcabrera@wisc.edu

Victor E.Cabrera, Ph.D.

More »

Helpful Link

Repro Money Program



DairyMGT.info: Tools 40 Decision Support Tools

Many Dairy Farm Management Areas

- Feeding
- Heifers
- Reproduction
- Production
- Replacement
- Environment
- Financial
- Genomics
- Health



Tools

A collection of the state-of-the-art and scientific-based dairy farm management decision support tools that are user-friendly, interactive, robust, visually attractive, and self-contained. These tools count with associated documentation and video demonstrations. Technical support on their application is also available upon request.

Environment

- > Dairy Nutrient Manager
- > Grazing-N: Application that Balances Nitrogen in Grazing Systems
- > Seasonal Prediction of Manure Excretion
- > Dynamic Dairy Farm Model
- Least Cost Optimizer
- > LGM-Dairy Premium Sensitivity
- Return to Labor
- > Estimate Your Mailbox Price
- > LGM Dairy Feed Equivalent Calculator
- > Net Guarantee Income Over Feed Cost for LGM-Dairy

Anatomy of a DairyMGT.info tool How to explore them



Some Decision Support Tools Selected Tools for Practical Use



FeedVal 2012

 Estimates the actual value of feed ingredients



Grouping Strategies for Feeding Lactating Cows

 Evaluates the value of more feeding rations



The Economic Value of a Dairy Cow

 Calculates the projected net return of a cow

FeedVal 2012 Should I buy this feed?



Market priceDM basis \$/unit price

Nutrient content Feed composition





Referee feeds

- Composition
- Market prices

January 2014 dairy feed prices

| đ | | | in the second | | | | |
|---|-------------------------|------|---------------|------------|--------------|-------------------|---------------------------|
| | | | | Feed Price | es (\$/Unit) | Actual Price as % | Best-buy |
| | Ingredient | DM % | 5 Unit | Market | Predicted | of Predicted | Ranking |
| | Wet Distillers | | | 76.0 | 170.4 | | A CONTRACTOR OF THE OWNER |
| | Distillers Dried Grains | 89 | ton | 190.0 | 393.4 | 48 | 2 |
| | Barley | 89 | cwt | 5.3 | 9.1 | 58 | 3 |
| | Corn Gluten Feed | 89 | ton | 160.0 | 253.3 | 63 | 4 |
| | Hominy | 89 | ton | 128.0 | 191.4 | 67 | 5 |
| | Corn Silage | 35 | ton | 43.2 | 58.9 | 73 | 6 |
| | Corn Gluten Meal | 89 | ton | 660.0 | 887.5 | 74 | 7 |
| | Shelled Corn | 86 | bu | 4.3 | 5.5 | 79 | 8 |
| | Poor Quality Hay | 87 | ton | 140.0 | 172.8 | 81 | 9 |
| | Canola Meal, expeller | 89 | ton | 346.8 | 422.4 | 82 | 10 |
| | Cottonseed Meal | 89 | ton | 403.0 | 476.2 | 85 | 11 |
| | Soybean Meal 48% | 89 | ton | 474.0 | 532.8 | 89 | 12 |
| | Wheat Middlings | 89 | ton | 175.0 | 194.9 | 90 | 13 |
| | Soy Hulls | 89 | ton | 188.0 | 200.6 | 94 | 14 |
| | Wheat | 89 | bu | 5.5 | 5.7 | 96 | 15 |
| | Linseed Meal | 89 | ton | 375.0 | 388.2 | 97 | 16 |
| | Soybean Meal 44% | 89 | ton | 462.0 | 468.6 | 99 | 17 |
| | Good Quality Hay | 87 | ton | 218.3 | 205.0 | 107 | 18 |
| | Urea | 99 | ton | 472.0 | 427.9 | 110 | 19 |
| | Soybeans, raw | 87 | bu | 12.6 | 11.1 | 114 | 20 |
| | Blood Meal | 94 | ton | 1800.0 | 1540.4 | 117 | 21 |
| | Sunflower Meal | 89 | ton | 290.0 | 246.9 | 117 | 22 |
| | Oats | 89 | ton | 236.9 | 194.1 | 122 | 23 |
| | Molasses | 89 | ton | 205.0 | 144.1 | 142 | 24 |
| | Whole Cottonseed | 89 | ton | 360.0 | 247.9 | 145 | 25 |
| | Beet Pulp | 89 | ton | 270.0 | 179.6 | 150 | 26 |
| | Tallow | 99 | cwt | 28.5 | 15.0 | 190 | 27 |

FeedVal 2012 January 2014 dairy feed prices

| Feed | Market price | Predicted price | % of Predicted | Rank | |
|---------------------|-----------------|--------------------|-------------------|----------|--|
| Wet Distillers | \$76/ton | \$176/ton | 43% | 1 of 27 | |
| Corn Grain | \$4.3/bu | \$5.5/bu | 79% | 8 of 27 | |
| Soybean Meal 44% | \$462/ton | \$469/ton | 99% | 17 of 27 | |
| Oats Grain | \$237/ton | \$194/ton | 122% | 23 of 27 | |

You can and you should do your own analyses



You can and you should do your own analyses



Recap

Calculates real value of a feed based on:

- Nutrient content
- Referee feeds
- Market prices

Supports:

- Decreased feed costs
- Increased income over feed costs



Help decisions of:

- Feed purchases
- Diet balancing
- Feed utilization



Nutritional Grouping Strategies Rationale



Same ration to all lactating cows

- All lactating cows receive same nutrient density
- Preferred "high" rations
- Low producing cows are overfed

Improved nutrient efficiency

- Diet closer to requirements
- Less over-conditioned cows
- Less environmental concerns
- Higher milk income over feed costs



Why Farmers do not Group More? Exploring main constraints

2-page survey

- 196 farms in WI
- e 211 farms in MI

| A. BASIC DAIKI FARM INFORMATION | B. FEEDING & RATIONS FOR LACTATING COWS |
|--|---|
| L1. Number of dairy cattle you typically have on your farm: A.L1. No. of latenting dairy cores (cores milling) A.L2. No. of explosement hoffers (if day of age to date of first calving): A.L4. No. of trajlacement hoffers (if day of age to date of first calving): A.L4. No. of trajlacement hoffers (if day of age to date of first calving): | B.1. Describe your freeding votens for lacrating cores (check all that apph): ○ One or more total insteed ration(s)() (DIR, all feet ingredients for a given ration are mixed into one mix and offered in covity, SIM → 6 sequencies B.2. ○ Partial mixed ratios (forages and concentrates mixed, but additional feed previded): ○ Additional (concentrates fod in computer feedors) |
| 4.1. <u>Milk production</u> on your farm: A.2.1. What is the rolling held surrage (RHA) for your herd?: | Additional concentrates fed in robotic milking system Additional concentrates fed in milking parts Additional concentrates top-densed in the stall stanchice milking bars Additional forage fed, please densitie: |
| A.3. Describe the grimmy manager of the dairy operation: A.3.1. Gender: □ Mair, □ Female A.3.4. Ager years A.3.4. Ager years A.3.4. Ager house the standard of the standard with 2-year degree or technical school | Other Constraint each <u>delivered wearster</u> (no mixing): Concentrate fol in computer feeders; Concentrates fel in mixing parter Concentrates fel in mixing parter |
| | B.2. Do you feed different rational (which to instantion instantion (which is a stanting of the stanting |
| Private consulting matritional Veterinarian Other: | B.3. Feeding Georges of Lactating Cows, Indicate your level of agreement with the following statements |
| L5. Do you consider your farm to be managed predominantly as pasture-based system during the grazing | T find different rations based on: Strengty Binners, Name |
| VES: NO | Trach or all other locations come 1 2 3 4 5 |
| A to sum from antified annuals (or in the contification annual) | Stage of lactation for non-fresh cows 1 2 3 4 5 |
| D VES D NO | Parity (lactation number) 1 2 3 4 5 |
| | Milk production 1 2 3 4 5 |
| C7. Describe your primary bousing facility for factating cows | Body condition Body weight 1 2 3 4 5 |
| A 7.6 Percenage (14) or over house intervieway in the statistic of statistical definition of the | Research allow datas (memory and a same) |
| A 25 Core brand in growing and the second se | Take and below more there are detail in mediad |
| A.7.5.1. No. of groups, pens, or strings: | I cannot do it |
| A.7.5.2. Type of group housing (check all that apply): | Other: 1 2 3 4 5 |
| Free itall bare; Shade structure; Open day let; Bade darcher mod; Compost bedded pen under roof; Nose; Other; | B.4. <u>Generotement on Feeding Genues of Lacturing Gens</u> . Indicate your level of agreement with the following nationences regarding the constraints to having more feeding groups for your lacturing cows. In each row, carcle |
| 4.8. Physical Grouping of Lactating Coves, Indicate your level of agreement with the following statements | a number: |
| regarding your management related criteria for grouping lactating cows. In each row, circle a number: | to my lactating cowy; Disagree Neutral Agree Agree |
| I group lactating cows based on: Disagree Neutral Agree Arree | Current farm facilities do not support it 1 2 3 4 5 |
| Randomly as needed to keep pens full 1 2 3 4 5 | Not enough labor or personnel to handle it 1 2 3 4 5 |
| Fresh cow group 1 2 3 4 5 | Desire to keep it simple 1 2 3 4 5 |
| Days in milk 1 2 3 4 5 | Mark drops when cows are moved to different groups 1 2 3 4 5 |
| 1º Lactation netter group 1 2 3 4 5 | Nutritionin date and unset to |
| Neik produktion Body condition Body mainter 1 2 3 4 5 | I do not believe more than one feeding group is needed 1 2 3 4 5 |
| Health (i.e. matitis SCC sick etc.) 1 2 3 4 5 | Other 1 2 3 4 5 |
| Remoduction (i.e. preeding, prepagat, DNB, etc.) 1 2 3 4 5 | |
| I do not believe multiple groups are worth the effort 1 2 3 4 5 | B.5. Would you consider becoming a demonstration farm for implementation of ideas? □ YES, □ NO |
| Other: 1 2 3 4 5 | Thank you very much for completing the survey! Your input is valuable and important? |
| 1 | 2 |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

Constraints to feeding more ration groups

- 1. Milk drops when cows are moved
- 2. Desire to keep management simple
- 3. Conflicts with grouping for reproduction
- 4. Farm facilities do not allow it
- 5. Not enough labor or personnel to handle it

Strategies for Grouping Cows Depend on farm and herd characteristics



Individual cow requirements

- Energy (NEL)
- Protein (CP)
- DMI



Number of cows

- Lactating cows
- Stages of cows



Farm characteristics

 Capacity to handle feeding groups Criteria for Nutritional Grouping Several criteria exist and are used

Days after calving, DIM

Based on lactation stage:
 e.g., earlier, medium, late



Fat (protein) corrected milk

Based on production level

Dairy merit

 Function of both F(P)CM and BW

Cluster

 Seems the MOST efficient criterion



Grouping Strategies for Feeding Cows You can and you should do your own analyses



Grouping Strategies

Farm/herd possibilities and decision-making



Grouping Illustration

Economic impact of nutritional grouping



Decision Support System Illustration

Cluster grouping criteria

| | Current Situation | | | | | | |
|-------|-------------------|---------|-------|----------|--|--|--|
| Group | Cows | NEL | CP | IOFC | | | |
| | # | Mcal/lb | % | \$/cow.d | | | |
| All | 470 | 0.80 | 17.00 | 6.9 | | | |

| Possible Situation | | | | | | |
|--------------------|-----|---------|-------|----------|--|--|
| Group Cows | | NEL | CP | IOFC | | |
| | # | Mcal/lb | % | \$/cow.d | | |
| 1 | 100 | 0.62 | 13.07 | 4.7 | | |
| 2 | 100 | 0.65 | 14.18 | 7.2 | | |
| 3 | 270 | 0.71 | 16.05 | 9.3 | | |
| All | 470 | 0.68 | 15.02 | 7.9 | | |

+\$147,000/year for 470 cows

Analysis from 30 Dairy Farm Records 30 Wisconsin dairy farms

Prices

- Milk: \$15.89/cwt
- CP: 0.1434/lb
- NEL: 0.1174/Mcal

No groups vs. 3 groups

Same size groups



Grouping criteria

• Cluster

Projected BW

- Ist lactation: 1,100 lb
- >1st lactation: 1,300 lb

Grouping Strategies on 30 Farms Cluster grouping on Wisconsin farms

| | Lactating cows (n=30) | No grouping | 3 Groups | Gain |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|----------|--------|
| Income Over Feed C \$/cow.yr | | | | d Cost |
| Minimum | <200 | 697 | 1,059 | 161 |
| Mean | 788 | 2,311 | 2,707 | 396 |
| Maximum | >1,000 | 2,967 | 3,285 | 580 |

The Economic Value of a Dairy Cow CRUCIAL for multiple on-farm decisions

Keep or replace

- Herd is better off with or without the cow
- What are the least valuable animals
- What are the most valuable animals



Breed or do-not-breed

- Value of a pregnancy
- Cost of a pregnancy loss
- Cost of a day open



Treat or do not treat

How much investment a cow is worth?

Projected Economic Net Return Expected future profitability



Economic Value of a Dairy Cow

You can and you should do your own analyses



The Value of an Average Cow Open vs. pregnant in second lactation



Milk Productivity and Value of a Cow Impact of projected productivity





Thanks DairyMGT.info



